UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

IN RE: SHANE T. ROBBINS

Case No. 20-mc-21-pp

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (DKT. NO. 1) AND DISMISSING CASE

On June 26, 2020, the court received a request from Shane T. Robbins for an extension of time to file his *habeas* petition. Dkt. No. 1. Because Mr. Robbins did not have an open case before the court, the Clerk of Court opened a miscellaneous case, docketed the motion for an extension of time and assigned the miscellaneous case to this court. Id.

In his motion, Mr. Robbins requests an extension of time to file a federal petition for *habeas corpus* relief, explaining that there has been a lockdown at Waupun Correctional Institution and he hasn't been able to use the law library Id.

A person in state custody has one year to file a petition for *habeas corpus* review in federal court, and that one year begins to "run from . . . the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review." 28 U.S.C. §2244(d)(1)(A). A federal court cannot "extend" that one-year time limit. The question is when the one-year time starts to run, and that depends on what actions the person has taken in his state case. There are cases that discuss when a judgment becomes "final," and that issue can be complicated. It is true that the federal

habeas statute has "tolling" provisions that exempt certain periods of time from

counting toward the one-year limitations period. For example, 28 U.S.C.

§2244(d)(2) provides that "The time during which a properly filed application for

State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent

judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of

limitation." Until Mr. Robbins files a habeas petition, however, the court will

not be able to tell whether any time has been "tolled" or whether his petition

would be barred by the statute of limitations.

The court does not have the authority to extend the deadline for Mr.

Robbins to file his petition. It can advise him only to conduct research into the

question of whether his state conviction has become "final" and, if so, when;

and then to make sure that he files his habeas petition within one year of the

date the conviction becomes, or became, final.

The court **ORDERS** that Mr. Robbins's request is **DENIED.** Dkt. No. 1.

The court **ORDERS** that the case is **DISMISSED**.

Dated in Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 29th day of June, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

HON. PAMELA PEPPER

United States District Judge

2